Reply to OA of: September 24, 2004

REMARKS

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the allowance of claims 1-3. It is

further noted that claims 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected

base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Accordingly, Applicants have

made every effort to place the application in condition for allowance and have amended

claims 5 and 6 as required by the Examiner. In view of the amendments to the claims,

it is believed that the application is now in condition for allowance.

Applicants have amended the claims to more particularly define the invention

taking into consideration the outstanding Official Action. Claims 4-9 have been amended

as required by the Examiner in the Official Action. Applicants most respectfully submit

that all the claims now present in the application are in full compliance with 35 U.S.C.

§112 and are clearly patentable over the references of record.

The rejection of claims 4 and 7-9 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as

being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which applicant regards as the invention has been carefully considered but in view of

the amendments to the claims, it is believed that the rejection has been obviated.

Accordingly, it is most respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn.

- 4 -

Appl. No. 09/926,340

Amendment dated: December 21, 2004 Reply to OA of: September 24, 2004

In view of the above comments and further amendments to the claims, favorable reconsideration and allowance of all of the claims now present in the application are most respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC

Richard E. Fichter

Registration No. 26,382

625 Slaters Lane, 4th Fl. Alexandria, Virginia 22314 Phone: (703) 683-0500 Facsimile: (703) 683-1080

REF:kdd

December 21, 2004